IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA SPARTANBURG DIVISION

Linda Erdman,)	
	Plaintiff,)	C.A. No. 7:10-cv-03070-JMC
v.)	ORDER
Wachovia,¹)	
	Defendant.)	
)	

This matter is before the court on the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation [Doc. 42], filed on February 16, 2012, recommending the court grant Defendant's Motion for Summary [Doc. 30]. The Report and Recommendation sets forth in detail the relevant facts and legal standards on this matter, and the court incorporates the Magistrate Judge's recommendation herein without a recitation.

The Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina. The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with this court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). The court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objections are made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the Magistrate Judge's recommendation or recommit the matter with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

In a document filed with the court on March 5, 2012 [Doc. 43], Plaintiff notes that she will

¹Defendant asserts the proper defendant is Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., successor by merger to Wachovia Bank, National Association. [Doc. 9.]

not file objections to the recommended disposition of her case as outlined in the Report and

Recommendation.

In the absence of objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, this

court is not required to provide an explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v.

Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Rather, "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a

district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no

clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial

Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory

committee's note). Furthermore, failure to file specific written objections to the Report and

Recommendation results in a party's waiver of the right to appeal from the judgment of the District

Court based upon such recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140

(1985); Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91 (4th

Cir. 1984).

After a thorough review of the Report and Recommendation and the record in this case, the

court adopts the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.

It is therefore **ORDERED** that Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. 30] is

GRANTED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

United States District Judge

J. Michelle Childs

Greenville, South Carolina

February 21, 2012

2